

Evaluation Method

Usability and Usefulness Evaluation Tool

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Grant agreement no.: 2014-1-DE01-KA203-000706

Project Consortium: University of Applied Sciences Magdeburg-Stendal (Germany); Aalborg University (Denmark); Lapland University of Applied Sciences (Finland); University of Lincoln (United Kingdom); University of Ljubljana (Slovenia); Potsdam University of Applied Sciences (Germany); Tampere University of Applied Sciences (Finland); University of Tampere (Finland); YMCA University of Applied Sciences (Germany)

Usability and Usefulness Evaluation Tool

Joachim K. Rennstich, YMCA University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Description of method

This online Collaboration Questionnaire has been developed as part of the OnCreate project in order to provide a diagnostic tool for problems related to usability and usefulness in online collaboration. The first ten questions are based on the System Usability Scale (SUS). The SUS provides a simple, yet reliable tool for measuring usability. It was originally created by John Brooke in 1986 and allows you to evaluate a wide variety of products and services, including hardware, software, mobile devices, websites and applications. The next sections extend this basic and established usability measure, including the areas mobility, assistance, accessibility, hierarchy, and effort.

Why to use (especially in context of creative online collaboration)

The usability and usefulness evaluation tool provides a combination of established evaluation methods specifically designed — but not exclusive to — creative online-collaboration. The evaluation's base is one of the most established and tested methods (SUS) to ensure comparability to other evaluation efforts.

This base is then extended to include issues that are particularly important in creative collaborative settings that are mediated in online environments. The following areas are covered:

- Mobility
- Assistance
- Accessibility

- Hierarchy
- Effort

The wording of the questions further establish a deeper diagnostic of the usability and usefulness especially regarding mobility usage of the tools used in the evaluated course.

How to analyse

The scales and figures can be processed statistically. For non-statisticians we recommend using scatterplots for exploring possible correlations, which is not sufficient for a scientifically rigid analysis, but gives enough insights to be used for course improvements.

How to interpret and use for improving online collaboration

In online learning, students are confronted with different combinations of online tools in each course. This combination makes the particular "platform" of the course, which often today is a mash-up. Learning and using these platforms can take away a lot of attention from the course content / activities.

The effort (sunk costs) to learn to use all parts of the platform should be in good balance with the course results. So look in the replies for the following: do the students have the feeling of spending too much time with the platform itself?

The elements (tools) of the platform should integrate well to minimize mental load of jumping between or watching multiple platforms, it should be very clear what to do on which tool (some might have overlapping functionality). How the students rate the subjective suitability of platform choice?

Mobility might also be a big plus or hinderance to the suitability of tools

chosen. Are mobility-related issues sufficiently reflected in the choice and use of technologies in the course?

Availability of technologies is another crucial area: do the chosen technologies reflect working environments of the students based on technological requirements and/or learning-curves involved to master the technology?

Material

Addendum: Usability and Usefulness Evaluation Questionnaire

Literature

Bangor, Aaron, Philip Kortum, and James Miller (2009): Determining What Individual SUS Scores Mean: Adding an Adjective Rating Scale. *Journal of Usability Studies*, 4(3): 114–123.

Brooke, J. (2013): SUS: a retrospective. *Journal of Usability Studies*, 8(2), 29–40.

Brooke, John (1994): SUS – A Quick and Dirty Usability Scale.

Reading, UK: Redhatch Consulting.

Goffman, Erving (1956): The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life.

Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.

Granic, Andrina, Maja Cukusic, Andrina Granic, and Maja Cukusic (2011): Usability Testing and Expert Inspections Complemented by Educational Evaluation: A Case Study of an E-Learning Platform. *Educational*

Technology & Society, 14(2): 107–23.

Hassenzahl, M., Burmester, M., & Koller, F. (2003): AttrakDiff: Ein Fragebogen zur Messung wahrgenommener hedonischer und pragmatischer Qualität. In *Mensch & Computer 2003* (pp. 187–196). Wiesbaden:

Vieweg+Teubner. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-322-80058-9 19

Hovde, Marjorie Rush (2015): Effective User Experience in Online

Technical Communication Courses: Employing Multiple Methods Within

Organizational Contexts to Assess Usability.

In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual International Conference on the Design of Communication, 30:1–30:5. SIGDOC '15. New York, NY, USA: ACM.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2775441.2775453

Kayworth, T. R., & Leidner, D. E. (2001): Leadership effectiveness in

global virtual teams. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 18(3), 7–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2002.11045697
Ngafeeson, Madison N., and Jun Sun (2015): The Effects of Technology Innovativeness and System Exposure on Student Acceptance of E-Textbooks. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research* 14: 55–71.
https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2002.11045697
https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07421222.200

Usability and Usefulness - Basic 21.08.17, 15:06

Usability and Usefulness - Basic

This questionnaire is developed by the OnCreate project in order to provide a diagnostic tool for problems related to usability and usefulness in online collaboration.

* Required

System Usability Scale (SUS)

Consisting of ten questions, the System Usability Scale (SUS) provides a simple, yet reliable tool for measuring usability. It was originally created by John Brooke in 1986 and allows you to evaluate a wide variety of products and services, including hardware, software, mobile devices, websites and applications.

Usability and Usefulness - Extended: Mobility

These questions will help further establish a deeper diagnostic of the usability and usefulness especially regarding mobility usage of the tools used in your course.

Strongly Agree

Strongly Disagree

21.08.17, 15:06

Usability and Usefulness - Basic 21.08.17, 15:06 11. Were "low-tech" alternatives available for access? Mark only one oval. Yes No Usability and Usefulness - Extended: Assistance These questions will help further establish a deeper diagnostic of the usability and usefulness especially regarding the issue of assistance in the use of the tools used in your course. 12. Was there help available? Mark only one oval. Yes No 13. When - if at all - was support available?

14. Where you trained in the use of the required software? Mark only one oval. Yes No

Usability and Usefulness - Extended: Accessibility

These questions will help further establish a deeper diagnostic of the usability and usefulness especially regarding accessibility of the tools used in your course.

15. Do you feel you can express yourself via the online tool(s)? Mark only one oval. Yes No

16. How did you exchange information and/or communicate with other students?

Mark only one oval.			quircu	ioi tile	course		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	
t was easy to co Mark only one oval.	llabora	te with	others	creativ	vely		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	
The design mode Mark only one oval.	l helpe	ed me to	o achie	eve the	objecti v	ve(s) of the cou	ırse
Strongly Disagree			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Strongly Agree	
Was it easy for ye	ou to a	ccess	the lea	rning m	aterial		
Mark only one oval.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	
Was it easy for yo Mark only one oval.	ou to ta	ake par	rt in the	e test(s)?		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	

Usability and Usefulness - Basic 21.08.17, 15:06

24.	Was there a "hierarchy" of students present in your course? Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No
Hie	erarchy present
	orarony process.
25.	In your view, should this hierarchy be addressed for a better learning experience? Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No
26.	What would you suggest to address the hierarchy structure in the course?
IJs	sability and Usefulness - Extended: Effort
The	se questions will help further establish a deeper diagnostic of the usability and usefulness
espe	ecially regarding the effort required to perform well in your course.
27.	Did you have trouble using the technology (in course)?
28.	Would you have preferred to use other tools? If so, which ones?
20	
29.	Was the platform easy to use?
	Was the platform easy to use? Mark only one oval.

Usability and Usefulness - Basic 21.08.17, 15:06

					Strongly Aagree
tribute	that y	ou wou	ıld use	to desc	cribe your decision making
iot ilie	IIIIOIIE	u abov	C .		
:4: 1		41	4 41-	-	1:4
itionai lf?	comm	ents at	oout the	e usabii	iity and usefulness of the
	not me	not mentione	not mentioned abov	not mentioned above.	itional comments about the usabi

