


Evaluation Method

Communication and Intercultural Issues

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Grant agreement no.: 2014-1-DE01-KA203-000706 Project Consortium: University of Applied Sciences Magdeburg-Stendal (Germany); Aalborg University (Denmark); Lapland University of Applied Sciences (Finland); University of Lincoln (United Kingdom); University of Ljubljana (Slovenia); Potsdam University of Applied Sciences (Germany); Tampere University of Applied Sciences (Finland); University of Tampere (Finland); YMCA University of Applied Sciences (Germany)

Communication and Intercultural Issues

Authors: Carita Forsgren, Seija Jäminiki, Ilka Haukijärvi

Description of factor

Communication is a critical factor for succeeding in creative online processes. Due to having none or very limited opportunities for face to face communications, a set of online-specific aspects need to be taken into consideration in the design and evaluation. In addition to the common communicational challenges of online teamwork, cultural differences also play a major role in multicultural settings. Cultural differences affect the communication processes, as there may be drastic differences in endorsed and accustomed ways of communicating with peers and/or the instructors.

Description of method

The tool includes the description of evaluation elements related to communication and certain intercultural aspects in online settings. The tool includes a set of questions on a scale of 1-5, with some additional open questions. The primary purpose of the questionnaire is to help the course designer to address the possible development needs for the course, with regards to communication, instructional design and consideration of culture specific aspects. The tool is not designed for rigor scientific purposes, but rather for continuous course quality management.

Why to use (especially in context of creative online collaboration)

The evaluation tool can be used at the beginning of the course/activity in order to identify challenges and problems and in the end, as a reflective

tool for assessment. The evaluation form can be used individually but

preferably with the Team members. Multiculturality sets specific challenges for the course and instructional design, and thus needs to be taken into consideration in course evaluation instrument design as well. This inquiry helps to collect information on communication specific aspects of a given online course or course related module. Why to use:

- To explore the experienced state of peer and instructor/ facilitator communications
- To explore the experienced state of the quality and utility of instructions
- To explore the experienced state of communications and teamwork in multinational and multicultural setting

How to analyse Evaluation is based on a scale of 1-5, and additionally the use of some open questions where the students may share deeper insight on or feedback related to a specific statement. The scales and figures can be processed statistically. Data from the additional open questions can be analysed with qualitative content analysis method to explore possible common issues and challenges in greater detail.

For non-statisticians we recommend using scatterplots for exploring possible correlations in the quantitative data, which is not sufficient for a scientifically rigid analysis, but gives enough insights to be used for course improvements.

How to interpret and use for improving online collaboration

Based on the findings, you are able to address plans for improving the course and/or instructional design. If a given statement is receiving lots of negative answers (1-2), it strongly indicates about weaknesses in that specific area of communications, and measures should be taken accordingly.

Note: It is also possible to complement the inquiry data with such data collection methods as themed group interviews with the student group. This would help addressing more detailed and concrete design plans and measures for improvement.

Material / Examples Addendum: Questionnaire

Literature Gunawardena, C., Wilson, P., & Nolla, A. (2014): In Moore, G., & Anderson, W. (Eds). Handbook of Distance Education. Culture and online education, pp. 753-776. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, NY: New Jersey.

Arasaratnam, L., & Doerfel, M. (2004): Intercultural communication competence: Identifying key components from multicultural perspectives. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. March 2005. Researchgate.net.

Thorne, S.L. (2003): Artifacts and Cultures-of-use in Intercultural Communication. *Language Learning & Technology*. Vol. 7 (2), May 2003, pp. 38-67.

Würtz, E. (2006): Intercultural Communication on Web sites: A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Web sites from High-Context Cultures and Low-Context Cultures. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 11, 2006, pp. 274–299.

Questionnaire - Communication

This inquiry helps to collect information on communication specific aspects of a given online course or course related module.

*Required

1. The communication on the course was successful in general *

Mark only one oval.



2. The communication was respectful and polite *Mark only one oval.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

3. The teacher/facilitator and the fellow students understood me well Mark only one oval.



4. If there were problems with the communication with the teacher/instructor and/or the fellow students, what kind of problems were they?



5. Cultural differences of communication or language problems affected the course * Mark only one oval.



The teacher/fa Mark only one c		answer	ed pron	nptly to	the que	stions I asked them '	*
	1	2	3	4	5		
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree	
The answers o Mark only one o		cher/fa	cilitator	were h	elpful *		
	1	2	3	4	5		
				\frown	\bigcirc		
Fully disagree The feedback of	of the te	acher/fa		r challe	nged m	Fully agree	
The feedback o		acher/fa	acilitato		nged mo		
	oval.				_		
The feedback of Mark only one of Fully disagree	ugh free written	2 edom to text, im	3 use dif ages, vi	4 fferent r ideos, e	5 means o	e to work harder *	en nee
The feedback of Mark only one of Fully disagree There was eno (spoken word,	ugh free written	2	3 use dif ages, vi	4 fferent r ideos, e	5	e to work harder * Fully agree	en nee
The feedback of Mark only one of Fully disagree There was eno (spoken word,	ugh free written	2 edom to text, im	3 use dif ages, vi	4 fferent r ideos, e	5 means o	e to work harder * Fully agree	en nee
The feedback of Mark only one of Fully disagree There was eno (spoken word, Mark only one of Fully disagree	ugh free written oval. 1	2 edom to text, im 2	3 ouse dif ages, vi	4 iferent r ideos, e	5 means o etc.) * 5	e to work harder * Fully agree f communication whe	
The feedback of Mark only one of Fully disagree There was eno (spoken word, Mark only one of Fully disagree The assignmen	ugh free written oval. 1	2 edom to text, im 2	3 ouse dif ages, vi	4 iferent r ideos, e 4 ther ins	5 means o etc.) * 5	e to work harder * Fully agree f communication whe	

12. The feedback by the teacher/facilitator on assignments was clear and prompt * Mark only one oval.

The feedback o Mark only one ov		achor/fr				Fully agree
	Val.	achei/id	acilitato	r gave i	ne insig	hts *
	1	2	3	4	5	
ully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree
The feedback I Mark only one ov		d from	my pee	rs was i	meaning	ful and construc
	1	2	3	4	5	
ully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree
	1	2	3	4	5	
ully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree
gave feedback Aark only one ov	val.	f active	l y and c 3	onstruc	ctively to	o my peers *
	1	2	5	4	5	
		()	()	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree
ully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc				
Fully disagree Dur study grou Mark only one ou	-	ke a saf	e place	to disc	uss thin	gs *

 Other people on the course sometimes made fun of what I said * Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

19. I felt that students of one nationality / gender / other grouped together and communicated only with each other *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

20. In group discussions, I felt everybody's opinions were being heard and respected * *Mark only one oval.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

21. I felt that the course had an atmosphere promoting creative thinking * *Mark only one oval.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

22. Being on the same course with people from different countries and cultures made me feel more creative / productive *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

23. Having people from different countries and cultures on the course was a distraction * *Mark only one oval.*



24. I could definitely take part in other international online courses, if their topics are of use to me *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

25. I find it better to take part on an online course without people from many nationalities and cultures *

Mark only one oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Fully disagree	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Fully agree

26. My overall grade on this particular online course *

Mark only one oval.

- 5 EXCELLENT 4 - VERY GOOD 3 - GOOD
 - 2 NEEDS IMPROVING
 - 1 BARELY ADEQUATE
- 0 -

Powered by Google Forms